

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,375	02/10/2004	Aldric Kuo-Chun Lee	L,AK-6	9920
7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Mr. Harry C. Post, III			RICCI, JOHN A	
ROBINSON & POST, L.L.P. North Dallas Bank Tower, Suite 575			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12900 Preston Road, LB-29			3712	
Dallas, TX 75	230-1332		D. 4 TT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/775,375	LEE, ALDRIC K	UO-CHUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Ricci	3712	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory mini statutory period will apply and will expire S ly will, by statute, cause the application to s after the mailing date of this communicat	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered time BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-31,36 and 37</u> is/are all	owed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14,16-23,26 and</u>	<u>32-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,15,24 and 25</u> is/are ob							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requiren	nent.					
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir	= -	=					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority							
3. Copies of the certified copies		•••	I Stane				
•	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(lotage				
* See the attached detailed Office acti	•	••					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or 		'aper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Other:					

Claims 2-10 & 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2-5 & 10, there is no antecedent for the "connecting portion".

In claims 32 & 33, there is no antecedent for the "pivotal connecting apparatus".

* * * * *

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication inthis or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10, & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olson 4,877,007.

Olson shows a sling shot having a fork portion 11 having an attached elastic member; a gripping portion 12; and apparatus 19 pivotally connecting the fork portion and gripping portion, having a connecting member 18 spaced a distance which would help overcome friction. There is a sight mounting bracket 31.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson in view of Bolton 3,983,860.

In the sling shot of Olson, the elastic member 16 is connected to the fork using a non-pivotal connector 34. One would recognize that a pivotal connector would be desirable so the elastic will remain aligned with the fork in the vertical direction. For example, Bolton shows that the elastic may be mounted to the fork by means of pivotal connectors 36, 38, of a length that would help overcome friction. This pivotal attachment would be desirable in the sling shot of Olson to help align the elastic with the fork. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sling shot of Olson with the pivotal fork connectors of Bolton.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson in view of Bolton as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Ellenburg et al 5,803,067.

Olson shows a sight 31, 36 which is somewhat removed from the fork. One would recognize that a sight mounted closer to the connection of the elastic and fork may be more accurate. For example, Ellenburg shows that a sling shot may have a pivotal connection 40, 50 between the elastic and fork, and a bracket 41 for mounting a sight 47 in close proximity to the pivotal connector. One would recognize that this sight would be desirable if the sling shot of Olsen were provided with the pivotal connector of Bolton. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sling shot of Olsen with the pivotal connector of Bolton, and with the sight mount of Ellenburg.

Claims 17 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolton.

Bolton shows a sling shot including a fork 18, 20; a gripping portion 10; and pivotal connectors 36, 38 for connecting the elastic 24, 26 to the fork. The connectors have a length that would help reduce friction.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolton.

Bolton does not disclose the length of the connecting members 36, 38. However, one would be able to determine through routine experimentation the length that is most effective to reduce friction.

Claims 21 & 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolton in view of Ellenburg.

The sling shot of Bolton does not appear to include a sight. One would recognize that a sight would be desirable to facilitate aiming. For example, Ellenburg shows that a sling shot may have a pivotal connection 40, 50 between the elastic and fork, and a bracket 41 for mounting a sight 47 in close proximity to the pivotal connector. One would recognize that this sight would be desirable in the sling shot of Bolton. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sling shot of Bolton with the sight mount of Ellenburg.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolton in view of Olson.

The grip and fork of the sling shot of Bolton are fixedly mounted, so the elastic may be unevenly stretched on the left and right. One would recognize that it would be desirable to provide a pivotal connection between the grip and fork to better align the elastic. For example, Olson shows a sling shot having a fork portion 11 having an attached elastic member; a gripping portion 12; and apparatus 19 pivotally connecting the fork portion and gripping portion, having a connecting member 18 spaced a distance which would help overcome friction. This arrangement would be desirable in the sling shot of Bolton. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10-775375 Page 6

Art Unit: 3712

art to provide the sling shot of Bolton with a pivotal fork connection, as suggested by Olson.

* * * * * *

Claims 27-31, 36, & 37 are allowed.

Claims 32-35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 12, 15, 24, & 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not disclose a wrist brace for a sling shot having a first portion extending away from a grip, and second, third, and fourth portions partially circumscribing an open area.

* * * * *

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

* * * * * *

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 703-308-4751

Application/Control Number: 10-775375

Art Unit: 3712

Fax: Use 703-872-9306 for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

My supervisor is Derris Banks, 703-308-1745.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3712

Idam Pari

Page 7